

To: Distler, Kenneth[DISTLER.KENNETH@EPA.GOV]
From: Bohan, Suzanne
Sent: Tue 4/16/2013 1:24:02 PM
Subject: Fw: Conformity
KateNPL General Conformity SJ - CD 4-15-13 draft2.docx

Ok w you?
I will read when I get in.
Thanks,
Suzanne

From: Daly, Carl
Sent: Monday, April 15, 2013 5:18:33 PM
To: Bohan, Suzanne; Jackson, Scott
Subject: RE: Conformity

Suzanne

Here is the further revised NPL general conformity comments. I only looked at comment 1, but Scott edited the other comments as well based on Kate's comment boxes. The heavily RLSO version is hard (impossible) to read, so switching to final view makes it readable.

Let me know if this is version clearer to you or if you have questions. I will be available this evening if you reply.

Thanks

Carl Daly

303-312-6416

From: Bohan, Suzanne
Sent: Sunday, April 14, 2013 7:10 PM
To: Daly, Carl; Jackson, Scott
Subject: Fw: Conformity

Carl and Scott -

Before I send this on to Tim and Ken, I wanted to check in with you to see if you can address Kate's comments. She commented on the red-lined version, so if you hover over the edits, Kate's are the 4/14 edits and the comment bubbles.

Please let me know if you can address, or whether I should ask Ken and Tim for input.

Thanks!

Suzanne

From: Fay, Kate

Sent: Sunday, April 14, 2013 5:32:05 PM

To: Bohan, Suzanne

Subject: Conformity

Please see attached. I am confused about two main points. I think the problem is too many writers. If understood the point, I would rewrite...but am not sure that I do.

Kate